arXiv:1509.04481v4 [math-ph] 21 Jan 2016

Group analysis of a class of nonlinear Kolmogorov equations

Olena Vaneeva, Yuri Karadzhov and Christodoulos Sophocleous

Abstract A class of $(1{+}2)$-dimensional diffusion-convection equations (nonlinear Kolmogorov equations) with time-dependent coefficients is studied with Lie symmetry point of view. The complete group classification is achieved using a gauging of arbitrary elements (i.e. via reducing the number of variable coefficients) with the application of equivalence transformations. Two possible gaugings are discussed in order to show how equivalence groups serve in making the optimal choice.

1 Introduction

Second-order partial differential equations of the form

$$u_t = Du_{yy} + \nu\,[K(u)]_x\,, \tag{1}$$

where D and ν are nonzero constants, and K is a smooth nonlinear function of the dependent variable u, appear in various applications. In particular, they describe diffusion-convection processes [4], model an interaction of particles with two kinds of particles on a lattice [1], arise in mathematical finance, when studying agents' decisions under risk [2, 16]. Equations (1) are called in the literature diffusion-advection equations, nonlinear ultraparabolic equations and nonlinear Kolmogorov

---

Olena Vaneeva
Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 3 Tereshchenkivska Str., Kyiv-4, 01601 Ukraine e-mail: `vaneeva@imath.kiev.ua`

Yuri Karadzhov
Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 3 Tereshchenkivska Str., Kyiv-4, 01601 Ukraine e-mail: `yuri.karadzhov@gmail.com`

Christodoulos Sophocleous
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Cyprus, Nicosia CY 1678, Cyprus e-mail: `christod@ucy.ac.cym`

equations. They were studied from various points of view. An important study of partial differential equations and especially nonlinear ones is finding Lie groups of point transformations that leave an equation under study invariant. Such symmetry transformations allow one to apply powerful, and what is most important, algorithmic methods for finding exact solutions of a given nonlinear equation. Moreover, Lie symmetries can serve as a selection criterion of physically important models among possible ones [5]. Lie symmetries of equations (1) and the corresponding group invariant solutions were classified by Demetriou et al [3]. There are also studies on Lie symmetries of linear Kolmogorov equations [9, 20] and of constant coefficient nonlinear Kolmogorov equations of the form $u_t - u_{yy} - uu_x = f(u)$ [19].

An attempt of group classification of a class of nonlinear Kolmogorov equations more general than (1), namely, such equations with time dependent coefficients,

$$u_t = f(t)u_{yy} - g(t)[K(u)]_x, \quad fgK_{uu} \neq 0, \tag{2}$$

was recently made [10]. Here f and g are smooth nonvanishing functions of the variable t, and K is a smooth nonlinear function of u. Nevertheless the complete classification of Lie symmetries of class (2) was not achieved in [10], in particular, the case $K = u\ln u$ was missed and dimensions of maximal Lie symmetry algebras as well as some of their basis elements for the other cases of extensions were presented incorrectly. The case $K = u^2$ that is important for applications was not studied with Lie symmetry point of view at all.

In this paper we perform the complete group classification of equations (2). As class (2) is parameterized by three arbitrary elements, $K(u)$, $f(t)$ and $g(t)$, the group classification problem appears to be too complicated to be solved completely without modern approaches based on the usage of point equivalence transformations. One of such tools is the gauging of arbitrary elements by equivalence transformations (i.e., reducing of a class to a subclass with fewer number of arbitrary elements). To use this technique, we firstly compute the equivalence group of class (2) in Section 2. A gauging of arbitrary elements is performed in the same section. In Section 3 Lie symmetries of the simplified class are exhaustively classified. In Section 4 we discuss how to choose an optimal gauging among possible ones. To illustrate that the chosen gauging is optimal, we also present results on group classification of class (2) carried out for an alternative gauging.

2 Equivalence transformations

Equivalence transformations are nondegenerate point transformations, that preserve the differential structure of the class under study, change only its arbitrary elements and form a group. There are several kinds of equivalence groups. The *usual equivalence group*, used for solving group classification problems since the late 50's, consists of the nondegenerate point transformations of the independent and dependent variables and of the arbitrary elements of the class, where transformations for independent and dependent variables do not involve arbitrary elements of the class [15]. The notion of the *generalized equivalence group*, where transformations of variables of given DEs explicitly depend on arbitrary elements, appeared in the middle 90's [11, 12]. The transformations from the *extended equivalence group* include nonlocalities with respect to arbitrary elements [6]. The *generalized extended equivalence group* possesses the properties of both generalized and extended equivalence groups. The group classification problems become simpler for solving if one use the widest possible equivalence group. Advantages of the usage of the generalized extended equivalence group in comparison with the usual one were shown, in particular, in [21]. In some cases the usage of generalized extended equivalence groups is the only way to present the complete group classification, see, e.g., [22].

Equivalence transformations generate a subset of a set of admissible transformations which can be interpreted as triples, each of which consists of two fixed equations from a class and a point transformation that links these two equations [17, 18]. In this paper we restrict ourselves to the study of equivalence transformations.

To find the equivalence transformations we use the direct method [8]. The details of calculations are skipped for brevity. As it is more convenient for the study of Lie symmetries to consider the equivalent form of the above class,

$$u_t = f(t)u_{yy} - g(t)k(u)u_x, \quad fgk_u \neq 0, \tag{3}$$

we present transformations for both K and $k = K_u$ in the theorems below.

Theorem 1. *The generalized extended equivalence group $\hat{G}^{\sim}$ of class (2) (resp. (3)) is formed by the transformations*

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = \delta_1 x + \delta_2 \int g(t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \delta_3, \quad \tilde{y} = \delta_4 y + \delta_5, \quad \tilde{u} = \delta_6 u + \delta_7,$$
$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \frac{{\delta_4}^2}{T_t} f(t), \quad \tilde{g}(\tilde{t}) = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{T_t} g(t),$$
$$\tilde{K}(\tilde{u}) = \frac{\delta_6}{\varepsilon_1}\left(\delta_1 K(u) + \delta_2 u + \varepsilon_2\right), \quad \left(\textit{resp.} \quad \tilde{k}(\tilde{u}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}(\delta_1 k(u) + \delta_2),\right)$$

where δ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 7$, ε_1 and ε_2 are arbitrary constants with $\delta_1\delta_4\delta_6\varepsilon_1 \neq 0$, $T(t)$ is an arbitrary smooth function with $T_t \neq 0$.

The usual equivalence group of class (2) (resp. (3)) consists of the above transformations with $\delta_2 = 0$.

The group $\hat{G}^{\sim}$ contains a subgroup of gauge equivalence transformations, i.e. the transformations that change only arbitrary elements while the independent and dependent variables remain unchanged [18]. This subgroup is formed by the transformations $\tilde{t} = t$, $\tilde{x} = x$, $\tilde{y} = y$, $\tilde{u} = u$, $\tilde{f} = f$, $\tilde{g} = \varepsilon_1 g$, $\tilde{K} = (K + \varepsilon_2)/\varepsilon_1$ (resp. $\tilde{k} = k/\varepsilon_1$). It is more convenient to consider class (3) than class (2) as in this case the dimension of the gauge equivalence subgroup reduces.

It appears that the subclass of equations (2) with K quadratic in u (resp. (3) with k linear in u) admits a wider equivalence group. Up to the $\hat{G}^{\sim}$-equivalence we can consider the case $K = u^2$ (resp. $k = u$).

Theorem 2. *The generalized extended equivalence group $\hat{G}_1^{\sim}$ of the class*

$$u_t = f(t)u_{yy} - g(t)uu_x, \quad fg \neq 0, \tag{4}$$

comprises the transformations

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X(t)x + \delta_3 \textstyle\int g(t)X(t)^2 \mathrm{d}t + \delta_4, \quad \tilde{y} = \delta_1 y + \delta_2,$$
$$\tilde{u} = \delta_5\left(\frac{u}{X(t)} - \delta_6 x + \delta_3\right), \quad \tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \frac{\delta_1^2}{\delta_5 T_t} f(t), \quad \tilde{g}(\tilde{t}) = \frac{X(t)^2}{\delta_5 T_t} g(t),$$

where $X(t) = 1/\left(\delta_6 \int g(t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \delta_7\right)$, δ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 7$, are arbitrary constants with $\delta_1\delta_5(\delta_6^2 + \delta_7^2) \neq 0$, and $T(t)$ is an arbitrary smooth function with $T_t \neq 0$.

The usual equivalence group of class (4) consists of the above transformations with $\delta_3 = \delta_6 = 0$.

As there is one arbitrary function, $T(t)$, in the transformations from the group $\hat{G}^{\sim}$, we can set one of the arbitrary elements f or g of the initial class equals to a nonzero constant value. We choose to perform the gauging $g = 1$ by using the transformation

$$\tilde{t} = \textstyle\int g(t)\,\mathrm{d}t, \quad \tilde{x} = x, \quad \tilde{u} = u. \tag{5}$$

Then, any equation from class (2) (resp. (3)) is mapped to an equation from its subclass that is singled out by the condition $g = 1$. The detailed discussion on optimal choice of gauging is presented in Section 4. Without loss of generality, we can restrict ourselves to the study of class (2) with $g = 1$ or, what is more convenient, its equivalent form

$$u_t = f(t)u_{yy} - k(u)u_x, \quad fk_u \neq 0, \tag{6}$$

since all results on symmetries, conservation laws, classical solutions and other related objects can be found for equations (3) using the similar results derived for (6).

The generalized extended equivalence groups of class (6) and its subclass with $k = u$ coincide with the usual equivalence groups of these classes.

Theorem 3. *The usual equivalence group $G^{\sim}$ of class (6) consists of the transformations*

$$\tilde{t} = \varepsilon_1 t + \varepsilon_0, \quad \tilde{x} = \delta_1 x + \delta_2 t + \delta_3, \quad \tilde{y} = \delta_4 y + \delta_5, \quad \tilde{u} = \delta_6 u + \delta_7,$$
$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \frac{{\delta_4}^2}{\varepsilon_1} f(t), \quad \tilde{k}(\tilde{u}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}(\delta_1 k(u) + \delta_2),$$

where δ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 7$, ε_1 and ε_0 are arbitrary constants with $\delta_1\delta_4\delta_6\varepsilon_1 \neq 0$.

Theorem 4. *The usual equivalence group $G_1^{\sim}$ of the class*

$$u_t = f(t)u_{yy} - uu_x, \quad f \neq 0, \tag{7}$$

is formed by the transformations

$$\tilde t=\frac{\alpha t+\beta}{\gamma t+\delta},\quad \tilde x=\frac{\kappa x+\mu t+\nu}{\gamma t+\delta},\quad \tilde y=\lambda y+\varepsilon,$$
$$\tilde u=\frac{1}{\Delta}\left(\kappa(\gamma t+\delta)u-\kappa\gamma x+\delta\mu-\gamma\nu\right),\quad \tilde f(\tilde t)=\frac{\lambda^2}{\Delta}(\gamma t+\delta)^2f(t),$$

where α, β, γ, δ, κ, μ, *and* ν *are arbitrary constants defined up to a nonzero multiplier with* $\Delta=\alpha\delta-\beta\gamma\neq 0$, $\kappa\neq 0$; λ *and* ε *are arbitrary constants,* $\lambda\neq 0$.

Theorem 4 implies that any equation (7) with $f=a(t+b)^{-2}$, where $a\neq 0$ and b are constants, is mapped by a point transformation to a constant-coefficient equation from the same class.

We also present equivalence transformations for the subclass of class (3) singled out by the condition $f=1$, which we will use for the comparison of the cases $f=1$ and $g=1$ in Section 4.

Theorem 5. *The generalized extended equivalence group* $\hat G_2^{\sim}$ *of the class*

$$u_t=u_{yy}-g(t)k(u)u_x,\quad gk_u\neq 0, \tag{8}$$

comprises the transformations

$$\tilde t=\delta_4^2t+\delta_0,\quad \tilde x=\delta_1x+\delta_2\textstyle\int g(t)\,\mathrm dt+\delta_3,\quad \tilde y=\delta_4y+\delta_5,\quad \tilde u=\delta_6u+\delta_7,$$
$$\tilde g(\tilde t)=\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\delta_4^2}g(t),\quad \tilde k(\tilde u)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}\left(\delta_1k(u)+\delta_2\right),$$

where δ_i, $i=0,1,\dots,7$, *and* ε_1 *are arbitrary constants with* $\delta_1\delta_4\delta_6\varepsilon_1\neq 0$.

Theorem 6. *The generalized extended equivalence group* $\hat G_3^{\sim}$ *of the class*

$$u_t=u_{yy}-g(t)uu_x,\quad g\neq 0, \tag{9}$$

consists of the transformations

$$\tilde t=\delta_1^2t+\delta_2,\quad \tilde x=\frac{x+\delta_4}{\gamma_1\int g(t)\,\mathrm dt+\gamma_2}+\delta_5,\quad \tilde y=\delta_1y+\delta_3,$$
$$\tilde u=\delta_6\left((\gamma_1\textstyle\int g(t)\,\mathrm dt+\gamma_2)u-\gamma_1(x+\delta_4)\right),\quad \tilde g(\tilde t)=\dfrac{g(t)}{\delta_1^2\delta_6(\gamma_1\int g(t)\mathrm dt+\gamma_2)^2},$$

where δ_i, $i=1,\dots,6$, γ_1 *and* γ_2 *are arbitrary constants with* $\delta_1\delta_6(\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2)\neq 0$.

3 Lie symmetries

The group classification problem for class (3) up to $\hat G^{\sim}$-equivalence reduces to the similar problem for class (6) up to $G^{\sim}$-equivalence (resp. the group classification problem for class (4) up to $\hat G_1^{\sim}$-equivalence reduces to such a problem for class (7) up to $G_1^{\sim}$-equivalence).

To solve the group classification problem for class (6) we use the classical approach based on integration of determining equations implied by the infinitesimal invariance criterion [15]. We search for symmetry operators of the form $Q=\tau(t,x,y,u)\partial_t+\xi(t,x,y,u)\partial_x+\eta(t,x,y,u)\partial_y+\theta(t,x,y,u)\partial_u$ generating one-parameter Lie groups of transformations that leave equations (6) invariant [14, 15]. It is required that the action of the second prolongation $Q^{(2)}$ of the operator Q on (6) vanishes identically modulo equation (6),

$$Q^{(2)}\{u_t-f(t)u_{yy}+k(u)u_x\}|_{u_t=f(t)u_{yy}-k(u)u_x}=0. \tag{10}$$

The infinitesimal invariance criterion (10) implies the determining equations, simplest of which result in

$$\tau=\tau(t),\quad \xi=\xi(t,x),\quad \eta=\eta^1(t)y+\eta^0(t),\quad \theta=\varphi(t,x,y)u+\psi(t,x,y),$$

where τ, ξ, η^1, η^0, φ and ψ are arbitrary smooth functions of their variables. Then the rest of the determining equations are

$$\tau f_t=(2\eta^1-\tau_t)f,\quad 2f\varphi_y=-\eta^1_t y-\eta^0_t, \tag{11}$$

$$(\varphi u+\psi)k_u+(\tau_t-\xi_x)k=\xi_t, \tag{12}$$

$$(\varphi_x u+\psi_x)k+(\varphi_t-f\varphi_{yy})u+\psi_t-f\psi_{yy}=0. \tag{13}$$

Firstly we integrate equations (12) and (13) for k up to the $G^{\sim}$-equivalence taking into account that $k_u\neq 0$. The method of furcate split [7, 13] is further used. For any operator $Q\in A^{\max}$ equation (12) gives equations on k of the general form

$$(au+b)k_u+ck=d, \tag{14}$$

where a, b, c, and d are constants. The number s of such independent equations is not greater than two, otherwise they form incompatible system for k. If $s=0$, then (14) is not an equation on k but an identity, this corresponds to the case of arbitrary k. If $s=1$, then the integration of (14) up to the $G^{\sim}$-equivalence gives three different cases: (i) $k=u^n$, $n\neq 0,1$; (ii) $k=e^u$; (iii) $k=\ln u$. If $s=2$, then the function k is linear in u, $k=u \bmod G^{\sim}$.

The determining equation (13) implies that there exist two essentially different cases of classification: I. $k_{uu}\neq 0$, and II. $k_{uu}=0$, i.e. $k=u \bmod G^{\sim}$.

Consider firstly the case of arbitrary function k. In this case equations (12) and (13) should be split with respect to k and k_u. The splitting results in the equations $\varphi=\psi=\xi_t=\tau_t-\xi_x=0$. Therefore $\tau=c_1t+c_2$, $\xi=c_1x+c_3$. As $\varphi=0$, the second equation of (11) implies $\eta^1_t=\eta^0_t=0$, i.e. $\eta^1=c_4$, and $\eta^0=c_5$. Here c_i, $i=1,\dots,5$, are arbitrary constants. Then the general form of the infinitesimal generator is $Q=(c_1t+c_2)\partial_t+(c_1x+c_3)\partial_x+(c_4y+c_5)\partial_y$ and the first equation of (11) takes the form

$$(c_1t+c_2)f_t=(2c_4-c_1)f. \tag{15}$$

Table 1 The group classification of class (6) up to the $G^{\sim}$-equivalence.

no.	$f(t)$	Basis of $A^{\max}$
		Arbitrary k
1	$\forall$	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y$
2	t^ρ	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad 2t\partial_t+2x\partial_x+(\rho+1)y\partial_y$
3	e^t	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad 2\partial_t+y\partial_y$
4	1	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad \partial_t,\quad 2t\partial_t+2x\partial_x+y\partial_y$
		$k=u^n$, $n\neq 0,1$
5	$\forall$	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad nx\partial_x+u\partial_u$
6	t^ρ	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad nx\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad 2t\partial_t+2x\partial_x+(\rho+1)y\partial_y$
7	e^t	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad nx\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad 2\partial_t+y\partial_y$
8	1	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad nx\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad \partial_t,\quad 2t\partial_t+2x\partial_x+y\partial_y$
		$k=e^u$
9	$\forall$	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+\partial_u$
10	t^ρ	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+\partial_u,\quad 2t\partial_t+2x\partial_x+(\rho+1)y\partial_y$
11	e^t	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+\partial_u,\quad 2\partial_t+y\partial_y$
12	1	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+\partial_u,\quad \partial_t,\quad 2t\partial_t+2x\partial_x+y\partial_y$
		$k=\ln u$
13	$\forall$	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad t\partial_x+u\partial_u$
14	t^ρ	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad t\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad 2t\partial_t+2x\partial_x+(\rho+1)y\partial_y$
15	e^t	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad t\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad 2\partial_t+y\partial_y$
16	1	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad t\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad \partial_t,\quad 2t\partial_t+2x\partial_x+y\partial_y$

Here n and ρ are arbitrary nonzero constants, and $n\neq 1$.

This is the classifying equation for f. If f is an arbitrary nonvanishing smooth function, then the latter equation should be split with respect to f and its derivative, which results in $c_1=c_2=c_4=0$. Therefore, the kernel $A^{\cap}$ of the maximal Lie invariance algebras of equations from class (6) is $A^{\cap}=\langle\partial_x,\,\partial_y\rangle$ (Case 1 of Table 1). To perform the further classification we integrate equation (15) up to the $G^{\sim}$-equivalence. All $G^{\sim}$-inequivalent values of f that provide Lie symmetry extensions for equations from class (6) with arbitrary k are exhausted by the following values: $f=t^\rho,\ \rho\neq 0$; $f=e^t$; $f=1$. The corresponding bases of maximal Lie invariance algebras are presented by Cases 2–4 of Table 1.

If $k=u^n$, $n\neq 0,1$, then splitting equations (12) and (13) with respect to different powers of u leads to the system $\xi_t=\psi=\varphi_x=0$, $\varphi_t=f\varphi_{yy}$, $n\varphi+\tau_t-\xi_x=0$. These equations together with (11) imply $\tau=c_1t+c_2$, $\xi=(c_1+nc_6)x+c_3$, $\eta=c_4y+c_5$, $\varphi=c_6$, where c_i, $i=1,\dots,6$, are arbitrary constants. The classifying equation for f takes the form (15). Therefore, the cases of Lie symmetry extensions are given by the same forms of f as in previous case, namely, arbitrary, power, exponential and constant. See Cases 5–8 of Table 1. The dimensions of the respective Lie symmetry

Table 2 The group classification of class (7) up to the $G_1^{\sim}$-equivalence.

no.	$f(t)$	Basis of $A^{\max}$
1	$\forall$	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad t\partial_x+\partial_u$
2	$\dfrac{e^{\sigma\arctan t}}{t^2+1}$	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad t\partial_x+\partial_u,\quad (t^2+1)\partial_t+tx\partial_x+\frac{1}{2}\sigma y\partial_y+(x-tu)\partial_u$
3	t^{ρ}	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad t\partial_x+\partial_u,\quad 2t\partial_t+(\rho+1)y\partial_y-2u\partial_u$
4	e^t	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad t\partial_x+\partial_u,\quad 2\partial_t+y\partial_y$
5	1	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad t\partial_x+\partial_u,\quad \partial_t,\quad 2t\partial_t+y\partial_y-2u\partial_u$

Here ρ and σ are arbitrary constants with $\rho\neq 0,-2$. Moreover $\rho\leq -1 \bmod G_1^{\sim}$.

algebras increase by one in comparing with the case of arbitrary k. The highest dimension is five, not six as it was stated in the paper by Kumar et al [10].

The consideration of the cases $k=e^u$ and $k=\ln u$ is rather similar to the case of $k=u^n$ with $n\neq 0,1$, therefore, we omit the details of calculations. The classification results are presented in Cases 9–16 of Table 1.

Consider the case of linear k, then up to the equivalence we can assume $k=u$. We substitute $k=u$ to equations (12) and (13) and further split them with respect to different powers of u. This leads to the system $\psi=\xi_t$, $\tau_t-\xi_x+\varphi=0$, $\varphi_x=0$, $\psi_x+\varphi_t-f\varphi_{yy}=0$, and $\psi_t-f\psi_{yy}=0$. We differentiate the first and the second equation of this system with respect to the variable y and get the additional conditions $\varphi_y=\psi_y=0$. Then also $\psi_t=\psi_{xx}=\varphi_{tt}=0$ and the second equation of (11) gives $\eta^1_t=\eta^0_t=0$. The general form of the infinitesimal operator Q is $Q=(c_2t^2+c_1t+c_0)\partial_t+((c_2t+c_4)x+c_3t+c_5)\partial_x+(c_6y+c_7)\partial_y+((c_4-c_1-c_2t)u+c_2x+c_3)\partial_u$, where c_i, $i=0,\dots,7$, are arbitrary constants. The classifying equation for f is

$$(c_2t^2+c_1t+c_0)f_t=(2c_6-c_1-2c_2t)f. \tag{16}$$

If this is not an equation on f but an identity, then $c_0=c_1=c_2=c_6=0$. Therefore, the constants c_3, c_4, c_5, c_7 appearing in the infinitesimal generator Q are arbitrary and the maximal Lie invariance algebra of the equations (7) with arbitrary f is the four-dimensional algebra $\langle\partial_x,\ \partial_y,\ x\partial_x+u\partial_u,\ t\partial_x+\partial_u\rangle$ (Case 1 of Table 2).

The further group classification of equations (6) with $k=u$, i.e. equations (7), is equivalent to the integration of the following equation on f

$$(at^2+bt+c)f_t=(d-2at)f, \tag{17}$$

where a, b, c and d are arbitrary constants with $(a,b,c)\neq(0,0,0)$. Up to $G_1^{\sim}$-equivalence the parameter quadruple (a,b,c,d) can be assumed to belong to the set $\{(1,0,1,\sigma),\ (0,1,0,\rho),\ (0,0,1,1),\ (0,0,1,0)\}$, where σ, ρ are nonzero constants, $\rho\leq -1$. The proof is similar to ones presented in Vaneeva et al. [22, 23]. It is based on the fact that transformations from the equivalence group $G_1^{\sim}$ can be extended to the coefficients a, b, c and d as follows

$$\tilde a=\mu(a\delta^2-b\gamma\delta+c\gamma^2),\quad \tilde b=\mu(-2a\beta\delta+b(\alpha\delta+\beta\gamma)-2c\alpha\gamma),$$
$$\tilde c=\mu(a\beta^2-b\alpha\beta+c\alpha^2),\quad \tilde d=\mu(d\Delta+2a\beta\delta-2b\beta\gamma+2c\alpha\gamma),$$

where $\Delta=\alpha\delta-\beta\gamma\neq 0$ and μ is an arbitrary nonzero constant.

Integration of the equation (17) for four inequivalent cases of the quadruple (a,b,c,d) gives respectively $f=\frac{e^{\sigma\arctan t}}{t^2+1}$, $f=t^\rho$, $\rho\neq 0$, $f=e^t$ and $f=1$. We further substitute the obtained inequivalent values of f into equation (16) and find the corresponding values of constants c_i and, therefore, the general forms of the infinitesimal generators. The results of the group classification of class (7) are presented in Table 2.

The classification lists presented in Tables 1 and 2 give the exhaustive group classification of the class of variable coefficient nonlinear Kolmogorov equations (3) with nonlinear k and of the class of equations (4) up to the $\hat G^\sim$- and $\hat G_1^\sim$-equivalences, respectively.

4 Discussion on the choice of the optimal gauging

Appropriate choice of gauging of the arbitrary elements is a crucial step in solving group classification problems. The gauging $f=1$ could seem more convenient if one look for the determining equations for finding Lie symmetries. For class (8) they have the form

$$2\eta_y=\tau_t,\quad \eta_{yy}-\eta_t=2\varphi_y,\quad (\varphi u+\psi)gk_u+[\tau g_t+(\tau_t-\xi_x)g]k=\xi_t,$$
$$(\varphi_x u+\psi_x)gk+(\varphi_t-\varphi_{yy})u+\psi_t-\psi_{yy}=0.$$

For the case $k\neq u$ the difference in classification is not so crucial (cf. Table 1 with Table 3). Though one can see that for $k=\ln u$ the operator $t\partial_x+u\partial_u$ appearing in Cases 13–16 of Table 1 transforms to various forms in the respective cases of Table 3. For the case $k=u$ the difficulty of group classification of the class (3) with $f=1$ increases essentially in comparison with the gauging $g=1$. Solving the determining equations results in the following form of the infinitesimal generator

$$Q=(c_1t+c_0)\partial_t+[(c_2x+c_3)\textstyle\int g(t)\,\mathrm{d}t+c_4x+c_5]\partial_x+$$
$$(\tfrac{1}{2}c_1y+c_6)\partial_y+[(c_7-c_2\textstyle\int g(t)\,\mathrm{d}t)u+c_2x+c_3]\partial_u,$$

where c_i, $i=0,\dots,7$, are arbitrary constants. The classifying equation for g is the integro-differential equation $(c_1t+c_0)g_t+(c_1-c_4+c_7-2c_2\int g(t)\,\mathrm{d}t)g=0$ (cf. with the classifying equation (16) for f that is much simpler). The results of group classification for class (9) are presented in Table 4. Comparing Tables 2 and 4 one can conclude that forms of the basis operators of the maximal Lie invariance algebras are more cumbersome in Table 4.

The links between equations of the form (9) are also more tricky than those between equations from class (7). For example, the equation

Table 3 The group classification of class (8) up to the $\hat{G}^{\sim}_2$-equivalence.

no.	$g(t)$	Basis of $A^{\max}$
Arbitrary k		
1	$\forall$	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y$
2	t^ρ	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad 2t\partial_t+2(\rho+1)x\partial_x+y\partial_y$
3	e^t	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad \partial_t+x\partial_x$
4	1	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad \partial_t,\quad 2t\partial_t+2x\partial_x+y\partial_y$
$k=u^n$, $n\neq 0,1$		
5	$\forall$	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad nx\partial_x+u\partial_u$
6	t^ρ	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad nx\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad 2t\partial_t+2(\rho+1)x\partial_x+y\partial_y$
7	e^t	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad nx\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad \partial_t+x\partial_x$
8	1	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad nx\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad \partial_t,\quad 2t\partial_t+2x\partial_x+y\partial_y$
$k=e^u$		
9	$\forall$	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+\partial_u$
10	t^ρ	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+\partial_u,\quad 2t\partial_t+2(\rho+1)x\partial_x+y\partial_y$
11	e^t	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+\partial_u,\quad \partial_t+x\partial_x$
12	1	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+\partial_u,\quad \partial_t,\quad 2t\partial_t+2x\partial_x+y\partial_y$
$k=\ln u$		
13	$\forall$	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad \int g(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\,\partial_x+u\partial_u$
14_a	$t^\rho,\ \rho\neq-1$	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad t^{\rho+1}\partial_x+(\rho+1)u\partial_u,\quad 2t\partial_t+2(\rho+1)x\partial_x+y\partial_y$
14_b	t^{-1}	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad \ln t\,\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad 2t\partial_t+y\partial_y$
15	e^t	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad e^t\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad \partial_t+x\partial_x$
16	1	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad t\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad 2t\partial_t+2x\partial_x+y\partial_y,\quad \partial_t$

Here n and ρ are arbitrary nonzero constants.

Table 4 The group classification of class (9) up to the $\hat{G}^{\sim}_3$-equivalence.

no.	$g(t)$	Basis of $A^{\max}$
1	$\forall$	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad \int g(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\,\partial_x+\partial_u$
2	$\dfrac{1}{t\cos^2(\nu\ln t)}$	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad \tan(\nu\ln t)\partial_x+\nu\partial_u,$ $t\partial_t+\nu x\tan(\nu\ln t)\partial_x+\tfrac{1}{2}y\partial_y+\nu(\nu x-\tan(\nu\ln t)u)\partial_u$
3	$\dfrac{1}{\cos^2 t}$	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad \tan t\partial_x+\partial_u,\quad \partial_t+x\tan t\partial_x+(x-u\tan t)\partial_u$
4_a	t^ρ	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad t^{\rho+1}\partial_x+(\rho+1)\partial_u,\quad 2t\partial_t+2(\rho+1)x\partial_x+y\partial_y$
4_b	t^{-1}	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad \ln t\,\partial_x+\partial_u,\quad 2t\partial_t+y\partial_y$
5	e^t	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad e^t\partial_x+\partial_u,\quad \partial_t+x\partial_x$
6	1	$\partial_x,\quad \partial_y,\quad x\partial_x+u\partial_u,\quad t\partial_x+\partial_u,\quad \partial_t,\quad 2t\partial_t+2x\partial_x+y\partial_y$

Here ρ and ν are arbitrary constants with $\nu\neq 0$, $\rho\neq -2,-1,0$. Moreover $\rho<-1 \bmod \hat{G}^{\sim}_3$.

$$u_t = u_{yy} - \frac{1}{t\cosh^2(\nu\ln t)}uu_x,$$

where the variable coefficient can be rewritten as $\frac{4}{t(t^{\nu}+t^{-\nu})^2}$, admits the five-dimensional maximal Lie invariance algebra with the basis operators ∂_x, ∂_y, $\tanh(\nu\ln t)\partial_x+\nu\partial_u$, $x\partial_x+u\partial_u$, and $t\partial_t-\nu x\tanh(\nu\ln t)\partial_x+\frac{1}{2}y\partial_y-\nu(\nu x-\tanh(\nu\ln t)u)\partial_u$. The equivalence of this equation and the equation

$$\tilde u_{\tilde t} = \tilde u_{\tilde y\tilde y} - \tilde t^{2\nu-1}\tilde u\tilde u_{\tilde x}$$

from the same class does not seem obvious. Nevertheless, there exists the transformation from the equivalence group $\hat G_3^{\sim}$,

$$\tilde t = t, \quad \tilde x = \frac{1}{4}x(t^{2\nu}+1), \quad \tilde y = y, \quad \tilde u = \frac{u}{t^{2\nu}+1}+\frac{\nu}{2}x,$$

that establishes a link between these equations. This shows that the distinguishing inequivalent cases of Lie symmetry extensions for class (9) is also a more difficult task than for class (7).

Therefore, the gauging $g=1$ is without a doubt the right choice to perform a group classification for the class (3) and especially for its subclass (4). So, is there a regular way that can help one to choose a preferable gauging among several possible ones? Equivalence groups appear to be indicators showing the right choice of gauging. Indeed, the comparison of the equivalence groups presented in Theorems 3 and 4 with those given in Theorems 5 and 6 shows that the equivalence groups of class (6) and its subclass (7) are usual whereas the equivalence groups of class (8) and its subclass (9) remain to be generalized extended as the equivalence group of the initial class. Transformations from the generalized extended groups become point only after fixing arbitrary elements and integrals of g then naturally appear in the forms of Lie symmetry generators and even in the classifying equation. This of course makes the calculations more difficult. Therefore, the widest possible equivalence group should be necessarily found even before applying the Lie invariance criterion to equations under study in order to choose the optimal gauging and to optimize the entire process of group classification.

5 Conclusion

The complete group classification of class (2) has been performed using the gauging of arbitrary elements by the equivalence transformations. We have presented classification lists for an equivalent form of this class, namely, for class (3). The corresponding values of K for the values of k from Tables 1 and 3 are the following: $k=u^n$, $n\neq 0,-1$, $\leftrightarrow K=u^{n+1}$; $k=u^{-1}\leftrightarrow K=\ln u$; $k=e^u\leftrightarrow K=e^u$; $k=\ln u\leftrightarrow K=u\ln u$.

Application of the widest possible (generalized extended) equivalence groups allowed us to write down classification lists in the explicit and concise form. We have also shown that the equivalence group is that indicator that helps one to choose the optimal gauging among several possible ones.

The derived Lie symmetries can be now used to reduce the nonlinear Kolmogorov equations (2) to ordinary differential equations and, therefore, for finding exact solutions. The reductions can be achieved using two-dimensional subalgebras of the corresponding maximal Lie invariance algebras.

Acknowledgements O. V. would like to thank the Organizing Committee of LT-11 and especially Prof. Vladimir Dobrev for the hospitality and support. O. V. and Yu. K. also acknowledge the support provided by the University of Cyprus. The authors express their gratitude to Roman Popovych for useful discussions and to the anonymous referee for valuable comments.

References

1. F.J. Alexander, J.L. Lebowitz, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **27** (1994) 683–696.
2. G. Citti, A. Pascucci, S. Polidoro, Differential Integral Equations **14** (2001), 701–738.
3. E. Demetriou, M.A. Christou, C. Sophocleous, Appl. Math. Comput. **187** (2007), no. 2, 1333–1350.
4. M. Escobedo, J.L. Vazquez, E. Zuazua, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **343** (1994), no. 2, 829–842.
5. W.I. Fushchich, A.G. Nikitin, *Symmetries of Equations of Quantum Mechanics*, (New York, Allerton Press Inc., 1994).
6. N.M. Ivanova, R.O. Popovych, C. Sophocleous, in: N.H. Ibragimov et al. (ed.), Proc. of Tenth International Conference in Modern Group Analysis (Larnaca, Cyprus, 2004), Nicosia, 2005, pp. 107–113.
7. N.M. Ivanova, R.O. Popovych, C. Sophocleous, Lobachevskii J. Math. **31** (2010) 100–122.
8. J.G. Kingston, C. Sophocleous, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **31** (1998), 1597–1619.
9. S.S. Kovalenko, I.M. Kopas, V.I. Stogniy, Research Bull. NTUU “KPI” (2013) no. 4, 67–72 (in Ukrainian).
10. V. Kumar, R.K. Gupta, R. Jiwari, Chin. Phys. B **23**, no. 3, (2014), 030201, 6 pp.
11. S.V. Meleshko, J. Appl. Math. Mech. **58** (1994), 629–635.
12. S.V. Meleshko, Nonlinear Mathematical Physics **3**, no. 1, (1996), 170–174.
13. A.G. Nikitin, R.O. Popovych, Ukr. Math. J. **53** (2001) 1255–1265.
14. P.J. Olver, *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations*, 2nd edn., (New York, Springer-Verlag, 2000).
15. L.V. Ovsiannikov, *Group Analysis of Differential Equations*, (New York, Academic Press, 1982).
16. A. Pascucci, S. Polidoro, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **35** (2003), no. 3, 579–595.
17. R.O. Popovych, A. Bihlo, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012) 073102, 36 pp.
18. R.O. Popovych, M. Kunzinger, H. Eshraghi, Acta Appl. Math. **109** (2010), 315–359.
19. M.I. Serov, S.V. Spichak, V.I. Stogniy, I.V. Rassokha, Research Bull. NTUU “KPI” (2013) no. 4, 88–93 (in Ukrainian).
20. V.I. Stogniy, I.M. Kopas, S.S. Kovalenko, Research Bull. NTUU “KPI” (2014) no. 4, 102–107 (in Ukrainian).
21. O. Vaneeva, O. Kuriksha, C. Sophocleous, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat. **22** (2015) 1243–1251.
22. O.O. Vaneeva, R.O. Popovych, C. Sophocleous, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **396** (2012) 225–242.
23. O.O. Vaneeva, C. Sophocleous, P.G.L. Leach, J. Eng. Math. **91** (2015), 165–176.